

REF NO.	Topic	Subtopic	Comment	DOE Response
1	Test Procedure for Workstations	Partial Load Testing	<p>The stakeholder commented that the existing two benchmarks Linpack and SPECviewperf are sufficient to determine the feasibility of the benchmark approach. The other two benchmarks CINEBENCH and SPECcpu_2006 are used for specific applications and market segments and are not needed to test the feasibility of the benchmark concept.</p> <p>In addition, the stakeholder recommended that EPA and DOE continue to promote the 3-5 year development of an appropriate workstation benchmark like the SPEC GWPG.</p>	<p>DOE agrees to this comment. As such, section 7.2 of Final Draft Test Method requires the partial load testing of workstations with LINPACK and SPECviewperf. DOE has removed SPEC SPU2006 and CINEBENCH from the list of benchmarks for workstation testing.</p> <p>DOE also supports the development of an appropriate workstation benchmark. One possibility is that SPEC GWPG is in the process of developing a benchmark to test workstations. After validating the benchmark released by SPEC, DOE will consider including it in the test method.</p>
2	Test Procedure for Workstations	Partial Load Testing	A stakeholder recommended that both the power and performance information be collected for Linpack and SPECviewperf benchmarks specified in the partial load testing for workstations.	DOE agrees to this comment and has included it in the test reporting template and test method.
3	Test Conduct and Test Procedure		A stakeholder recommended that all test method references to ECMA 383 be replaced with IEC 62623 Ed. 1.0.	DOE has replaced all references made to the ECMA 383 with IEC 62623 in the Final Draft Test Method. The language in sections 5 and 6 of the Final Draft Test Method has been updated accordingly.